

Precision of localization of single gravitational-wave source with pulsar timing array

Ryo Kato^{1,2,*} and Keitaro Takahashi^{1,3,†}

¹*Faculty of Advanced Science and Technology, Kumamoto University, Kumamoto 860-8555, Japan*

²*Osaka Central Advanced Mathematical Institute,*

Osaka Metropolitan University, Osaka, 5588585, Japan

³*International Research Organization for Advanced Science and Technology,
Kumamoto University, Kumamoto 860-8555, Japan*

(Dated: August 22, 2023)

Pulsar Timing Arrays (PTAs) are expected to be able to detect gravitational waves (GWs) from individual supermassive black hole binaries in the near future. In order to identify the host galaxy of a gravitational wave source, the angular resolution of PTAs should be much better than that expected from the conventional methodology of PTAs. We study the potential usefulness of precise pulsar-distance measurements in the determination of the sky location of a single GW source. Precise distance information from external observations such as astrometry by Very Long Baseline Interferometry is incorporated as priors in the PTA analysis and we evaluate the precision of the sky location of a GW source by simulating PTA data of 12 milli-second pulsars with only the GW signal and the Gaussian white noise in the timing residuals. We show that only a few pulsars with a distance precision of 1 pc will improve the precision of the source location by more than 1 order in the presence of white noise of 10 ns.

I. INTRODUCTION

A Pulsar Timing Array (PTA) experiment is a method to detect gravitational waves (GWs) in the frequency range of $10^{-9} - 10^{-7}$ Hz by observing milli-second pulsars over a long period of time [1]. There are multiple PTA projects around the world: European Pulsar Timing Array (EPTA) [2], North American Nanohertz Observatory for Gravitational Waves (NANOGrav) [3], Parkes Pulsar Timing Array (PPTA) [4], Indian Pulsar Timing Array (InPTA) [5], Chinese Pulsar Timing Array (CPTA) [6] and MeerKAT Pulsar Timing Array (MPTA) [7]. International Pulsar Timing Array (IPTA) is a consortium that coordinates and enhances the activities of these PTAs and combines data from different PTAs [8]. The primary GW source for the PTAs is supermassive black hole binaries (SMBHBs), while GWs from cosmic strings and cosmic inflation are also intriguing targets.

Recently, non-negligible Bayesian evidence for stochastic GW background has been reported by EPTA+InPTA, NANOGrav, PPTA and CPTA [6, 9–11]. Although the current data and analysis are not yet statistically significant enough to claim detection, in the near future, by further accumulating data and combining data from different PTAs, the significance of the GW background signal will increase to reach detection. Then one of the next goals of PTAs is to detect continuous GWs from individual SMBHBs [12]. If we can detect and precisely locate single GW sources, we will be able to identify the host galaxies of the SMBHBs and, in the future, create an SMBHB catalog. This is nanohertz GW astronomy, and follow-up observations with electromagnetic waves will enable us to study the evolution and growth of SMBHBs from multiple aspects.

As an example, let us consider an SMBHB with a chirp mass of $10^{10} M_{\odot}$ and GW frequency of 10^{-8} Hz. If it is located within about 1 Gpc ($z = 0.2$) from the observer, the GW amplitude is about 10^{-7} s and detectable with the current PTAs in the near future. On the other hand, according to the Galaxy And Mass Assembly survey, the surface number density of galaxies below the redshift $z = 0.2$ is about 10^3 deg^{-2} [13, 14]. Therefore, the host galaxy of a GW source would not be identified without the resolution of the GW source of about $10^{-3} \text{ deg}^2 \approx 4 \text{ amin}^2$. So far, many researchers have studied the precision of the sky location determination for a continuous GW source [15–30]. According to simulations of the IPTA dataset, the sky location can be determined with a precision on the order of 100 deg^2 [27]. This precision will not be sufficient to identify the host galaxy among a large number of candidate galaxies.

In this paper, we study the potential usefulness of precise pulsar-distance measurements in the determination of the sky location of a single GW source. In the GW signal model, the pulsar distance appears mainly in the phase of the "pulsar term". Precise pulsar distances from external observations such as VLBI astrometry can be incorporated as priors in the PTA analysis and are expected to improve the determination of parameters including the sky location

* rkato@sci.osaka-cu.ac.jp

† keitaro@kumamoto-u.ac.jp

of the GW source. Given that a typical period of GWs targeted by PTAs is O(1-10) years, the phase of the pulsar term can be determined only if the uncertainty in the pulsar distance is less than 1 pc.

There are several different ways to measure pulsar distances. Among these, pulsar distances obtained with Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) astrometry are considered suitable for use as prior information for the PTA analysis because they are independent of the timing model used by the PTA. For example, the Very Long Baseline Arrays (VLBA) have been used to measure the parallax-based pulsar distance of many pulsars [31]. Recently, milli-second pulsars have also been observed with the VLBA for distance measurements [32]. According to the VLBA results, for example, the parallax of PSR J0030+0451 was measured to be 3.02(7) mas and the distance was determined to be 331(8) pc without a timing model. In the SKA (Square Kilometre Array) era, combining the SKA with other radio telescopes to perform VLBI observations, the maximum astrometric precision is expected to reach 15 μ as at 1.4 GHz [33]. With this precision, the uncertainty in the distance of a pulsar located at 300 pc will be on the order of 1 pc.

In this paper, we evaluate the potential effects of precise pulsar distance on the determination of PTA parameters, especially the location of a GW source, considering the current and future precision of pulsar distance measurements. The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we describe the signal model of a GW emitted from a circular SMBHB and the parameter estimation method. In Section III, we investigate the results of the analysis for simulated data and compare the results with several models. In Section IV, we provide our conclusions and discuss future work. Throughout this paper, we use units where $G = c = 1$.

II. METHOD

A. Signal Model

In General Relativity, a GW is represented as a superposition of the plus (+) and cross (\times) polarizations:

$$h_{\mu\nu}(t, \hat{\Omega}) = \sum_{A=+, \times} h_A(t, \hat{\Omega}) e_{\mu\nu}^A(\hat{\Omega}), \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{\Omega}$ is a unit vector from the GW source to the Solar System Barycenter (SSB), h_A are the polarization amplitudes and $e_{\mu\nu}^A$ are the polarization tensors. The polarization tensors can be expressed as

$$e_{\mu\nu}^+(\hat{\Omega}) = \hat{m}_\mu \hat{m}_\nu - \hat{n}_\mu \hat{n}_\nu, \quad (2)$$

$$e_{\mu\nu}^\times(\hat{\Omega}) = \hat{m}_\mu \hat{n}_\nu + \hat{n}_\mu \hat{m}_\nu, \quad (3)$$

where \hat{m} and \hat{n} are unit vectors orthogonal to each other and to $\hat{\Omega}$.

The GW induces the timing residuals [34–36]:

$$s(t, \hat{\Omega}) = \sum_{A=+, \times} \Delta s_A(t) F^A(\hat{\Omega}), \quad (4)$$

where

$$\Delta s_A(t) = s_A(t_p) - s_A(t). \quad (5)$$

Here, t and t_p are times when the GW passed through the SSB and the pulsar, respectively, and F^A are the antenna pattern functions. The first and second terms in Eq. (5) are called pulsar and Earth terms, respectively. Let \hat{p} be a unit vector from the SSB to the pulsar, then the time t_p is written as

$$t_p = t - L_p(1 + \hat{\Omega} \cdot \hat{p}), \quad (6)$$

where L_p is the distance between the SSB and the pulsar. Furthermore, the antenna pattern functions are defined as,

$$F^A(\hat{\Omega}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hat{p}^\mu \hat{p}^\nu}{1 + \hat{\Omega} \cdot \hat{p}} e_{\mu\nu}^A(\hat{\Omega}). \quad (7)$$

We define the unit vectors as

$$\hat{\Omega} = -(\sin \theta \cos \phi) \hat{x} - (\sin \theta \sin \phi) \hat{y} - (\cos \theta) \hat{z}, \quad (8)$$

$$\hat{m} = (\sin \phi) \hat{x} - (\cos \phi) \hat{y}, \quad (9)$$

$$\hat{n} = -(\cos \theta \cos \phi) \hat{x} - (\cos \theta \sin \phi) \hat{y} + (\sin \theta) \hat{z}, \quad (10)$$

$$\hat{p} = (\sin \theta_p \cos \phi_p) \hat{x} + (\sin \theta_p \sin \phi_p) \hat{y} + (\cos \theta_p) \hat{z}, \quad (11)$$

where \hat{x} , \hat{y} and \hat{z} are the Cartesian basis vectors and $\hat{\Omega} = \hat{m} \times \hat{n}$. In the case of a GW emitted from a circular SMBHB, s_A can be written as [37]:

$$s_+(t) = \frac{\mathcal{M}^{5/3}}{d_L \omega_s(t)^{1/3}} \left[\sin 2\Phi_s(t) (1 + \cos^2 \iota) \cos 2\psi + 2 \cos 2\Phi_s(t) \cos \iota \sin 2\psi \right], \quad (12)$$

$$s_\times(t) = \frac{\mathcal{M}^{5/3}}{d_L \omega_s(t)^{1/3}} \left[-\sin 2\Phi_s(t) (1 + \cos^2 \iota) \sin 2\psi + 2 \cos 2\Phi_s(t) \cos \iota \cos 2\psi \right], \quad (13)$$

where $\mathcal{M} \equiv (m_1 m_2)^{3/5} / (m_1 + m_2)^{1/5}$ is the chirp mass of the SMBHB with the individual black hole masses m_1 and m_2 , d_L is the luminosity distance of the SMBHB, ι is the inclination angle of the SMBHB and ψ is the GW polarization angle. The orbital angular frequency and the phase of the SMBHB are

$$\omega_s(t) = \omega_{s0} \left(1 - \frac{256}{5} \mathcal{M}^{5/3} \omega_{s0}^{8/3} t \right)^{-3/8} = \omega_{s0} \left(1 - \frac{t}{t_{\text{coal}}} \right)^{-3/8}, \quad (14)$$

$$\Phi_s(t) = \Phi_{s0} + \frac{1}{32 \mathcal{M}^{5/3}} \left(\omega_{s0}^{-5/3} - \omega_s(t)^{-5/3} \right) = \Phi_{s0} + \frac{8}{5} \omega_{s0} t_{\text{coal}} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{t}{t_{\text{coal}}} \right)^{5/8} \right], \quad (15)$$

where $\omega_{s0} = 2\pi f_{s0}$ and Φ_{s0} are the initial values of the orbital angular frequency and phase at $t = 0$, respectively. Here, the coalescing time of the SMBHB, at which the orbital angular frequency ω_s diverges, is defined as

$$t_{\text{coal}} = \frac{5}{256} \mathcal{M}^{-5/3} \omega_{s0}^{-8/3}. \quad (16)$$

The initial GW frequency and phase are related to these quantities as $f_0 = 2f_{s0}$ and $\Phi_0 = 2\Phi_{s0}$, since the GW frequency and phase are twice the orbital frequency and phase of SMBHB, respectively.

It should be noted that, when $t \ll t_{\text{coal}}$, as is the normal situation during the observation period of the PTA, $\omega_s(t)$ is constant and the phase is reduced to,

$$\Phi_s(t) = \Phi_{s0} + \omega_{s0} t. \quad (17)$$

On the other hand, orbital angular frequency in the pulsar term is, for $t \ll t_{\text{coal}}$,

$$\omega_s(t_p) = \omega_{s0} \left(1 + \frac{L_p(1 + \hat{\Omega} \cdot \hat{p})}{t_{\text{coal}}} \right)^{-3/8}. \quad (18)$$

If the value of $L_p(1 + \hat{\Omega} \cdot \hat{p})$ is not negligible compared to t_{coal} , the angular frequency of the pulsar term is smaller than that of Earth term. Further, the phase of the pulsar term is given by,

$$\Phi_s(t_p) = \Phi_{s0} + \frac{8}{5} \omega_{s0} t_{\text{coal}} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{L_p(1 + \hat{\Omega} \cdot \hat{p})}{t_{\text{coal}}} \right)^{5/8} \right] + \omega_s(t_p) t. \quad (19)$$

Therefore, compared to Eq. (17), there is an offset in the initial phase which depends on the value of $L_p(1 + \hat{\Omega} \cdot \hat{p})$, the chirp mass and angular frequency.

B. Simulated data

In our simulation, the timing residual is evenly sampled once every three weeks with an observation period of 12.5 years. We consider 12 pulsars distributed uniformly in the sky. Fig. 1 shows the sky location of the 12 pulsars. The distance from the SSB is set to 1 kpc for all pulsars. The pulsar distance is one of the key ingredients in our study and will be mentioned later in Section IID.

The simulated timing residual consists of the GW signal of a circular SMBHB, whose sky location is given in Fig. 1, and the Gaussian white noise. The GW signal parameters are set to $\theta = 1$, $\phi = 1$, $\mathcal{M} = 10^9 M_\odot$, $d_L = 10^2$ Mpc, $f_0 = 10^{-8}$ Hz, $\Phi_0 = 1$, $\iota = 1$ and $\psi = 1$. In this case, the coalescing time scale t_{coal} is about 7000 yr. This time scale is sufficiently longer than the observation period so that the evolution of the binary can be neglected during the observation period. On the other hand, the coalescing time is longer than the light travel time between the SSB and




FIG. 1. Sky locations of the pulsars and the GW source. The dot and the plus markers denote the Sky locations of the pulsars and the GW source. The numbers above the dot markers indicate the numbers randomly assigned to the pulsars.

the pulsars by a factor of 2. Thus, according to Eq.(14), the minimum GW frequency of the pulsar terms is 0.8 times that of the Earth term. With the above set of parameters, the GW signal has an amplitude of approximately 10 ns. Then, the standard deviation of the Gaussian white noise is set to either of 1ns, 10ns and 100ns.

We define the simulated timing residual data δt which consists of the GW signal s given by Eq. (4) and the Gaussian white noise n as,

$$\delta t = s + n. \quad (20)$$

We do not take into account the timing model error and possible time-correlated noise such as red noise.

C. Parameter Estimation

In the Bayesian framework, given a parameterized model M that describes the data δt with parameters θ , the posterior probability density function (PDF) is [38]

$$p(\theta|\delta t, M) = \frac{p(\delta t|\theta, M)p(\theta|M)}{p(\delta t|M)}, \quad (21)$$

where $p(\delta t|\theta, M)$ is the likelihood function, $p(\theta|M)$ is the prior PDF and $p(\delta t|M)$ is an uninteresting normalization constant. The purpose of the Bayesian parameter estimation is to obtain the posterior PDF.

Given a model M , which is $\delta t = s + n$, the likelihood function is given by

$$p(\delta t|\theta, M) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi C}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\delta t - s)^T C^{-1}(\delta t - s)\right), \quad (22)$$

where θ denotes the parameters for the GW signal model and the noise, and $C \equiv \langle nn^T \rangle$ is the noise covariance matrix. We assume that the covariance matrix C is proportional to the identity matrix as

$$C = \sigma_n I, \quad (23)$$

where σ_n is a constant and I is the identity matrix because we do not include time-correlated noise which has a non-diagonal covariance matrix. Assuming that the pulsar sky location (θ_p, ϕ_p) is determined with high precision for all pulsars, we look for best-fit parameters and obtain the posterior PDF by multiplying the prior PDFs $p(\theta|M)$ summarized in Table I. Specifically, we analyze simulated data using **DEMETROPOLISZ**, which is the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method in PyMC package [39]. This method is based on Adaptive Differential Evolution Metropolis [40].

TABLE I. Prior distributions.

Parameter	Description	Prior
GW signal		
θ [rad]	Polar angle	Uniform $[0, \pi]$
ϕ [rad]	Azimuthal angle	Uniform $[0, 2\pi]$
ι [rad]	Inclination angle	Uniform $[0, \pi]$
\mathcal{M} [M_{\odot}]	Chirp mass	Log-uniform $[10^6, 10^{10}]$
f_0 [Hz]	Initial Frequency	Log-uniform $[10^{-9}, 10^{-7}]$
d_L [Mpc]	Luminosity distance	Log-uniform $[10^0, 10^3]$
Φ_0 [rad]	Initial Phase	Uniform $[0, 2\pi]$
ψ [rad]	Polarization angle	Uniform $[0, \pi]$
L_p [pc]	Pulsar distance	Normal(1000, σ_p)
White noise		
σ_n [s]	Standard deviation	Log-uniform $[10^{-11}, 10^{-5}]$

D. Pulsar Distance Prior

In this paper, as mentioned before, we assume that the distance to all pulsars is 1 kpc and that we have external information on it from independent observations such as VLBI astrometry. The external information can be incorporated as the prior for pulsar distances, Normal(1000, σ_p), where σ_p represents 1- σ error of the independent observations in the unit of pc, while the mean of the prior is set to the true value of 1 kpc.

Since the distance of pulsars is usually not determined with high precision, the phase of the pulsar term is not determined and should be marginalized with a uniform prior. However, if the pulsar distance and then the phase of the pulsar term are determined precisely, the determination of other parameters is expected to improve. More specifically, the phase of the pulsar term is well determined if the uncertainty in the pulsar distance is much smaller than the GW wavelength. Usually, PTAs are targeted at GWs with a wavelength of about 1pc-10pc, so in order to determine the phase of the pulsar term precisely, the distance of the pulsar must be determined with precision better than about 1pc.

At present, PSR J0030+0451 is the PTA pulsar with the most precisely determined distance, and its precision is better than 10 pc. In the future, the SKA-VLBI, a combination of the SKA and other facilities, will have much better precision and we can expect 1 pc or smaller distance errors for bright and close pulsars. Given these facts, we consider several different values of σ_p , reflecting the near and future prospects for precise determination of pulsar distance. Specifically, we consider values of 100 pc, 10 pc, 1 pc, 0.1 pc and 0.01 pc for σ_p . The current typical precision of pulsar distance is about 100 pc and we take this as the fiducial value. In this case, the distance prior will not have any significant effects on the parameter determination.

In fact, in determining the pulsar distance by annual parallax measurement, the distance of a closer pulsar tends to be determined more precisely. However, the determination of the phase of the pulsar term involves the absolute error, rather than the relative error. In this paper, we put all pulsars at the distance of 1 kpc for convenience, but because the crucial factor is the absolute precision of the pulsar distances, this assumption will not affect the results significantly.

III. RESULT

In general, the structure of the likelihood function in parameter space is complicated and it is expected that there are many local maxima. In such a case, it is not easy for an MCMC chain to reach the global maximum starting from a random initial point in parameter space. In this paper, we set the initial point to the global maximum in order to see the effect of the precise distance of pulsars on the precision of the position determination of a gravitational-wave source. We measure the size of the expected confidence region by examining the structure of the likelihood function around the global maximum.

First, we consider a case with a conservative precision in pulsar distances of 100 pc, while we take unrealistically small white noise of 1 ns. Fig. 2 shows the corner plot of the posteriors of the GW source parameters. Since the




FIG. 2. Posterior of the parameters except for the pulsar distances using $\sigma_p = 100$ pc. Contour lines represent 1- σ , 2- σ and 3- σ regions. The dot and the cross markers represent the true values and the maximum a posteriori (MAP) values, respectively.

wavelength of the GW is assumed to be about 3 pc, the phase of the pulsar term would not be restricted at all with this precision of pulsar distance. The angular resolution of the GW source, the uncertainty in θ and ϕ , is about 2×10^{-3} rad ≈ 7 amin, and the uncertainty area is about 40 amin² for 1- σ . This uncertainty is much smaller than those found in the literature due to the extremely small white noise. As we see, the position parameters, θ and ϕ , are not correlated with the other parameters, while they are correlated positively with each other. Several parameter pairs, such as f_0 - \mathcal{M} , d_L - \mathcal{M} and Φ_0 - ψ , are also seen to be correlated.

Next, we consider a case with 0.01 pc for the precision of pulsar distances, keeping the white noise level of 1 ns. In contrast to the previous case, with this extreme precision, the phase of the pulsar term would be determined very precisely. Fig. 3 shows the posteriors of the GW source parameters. We see that, compared with Fig. 2, the precision of some of the parameters such as θ , ϕ , \mathcal{M} and f_0 is improved by more than 1 order of magnitude. These parameters are directly related to the phase of the pulsar term, as we saw in Eqs. (18) and (19). In particular, the angular resolution of the GW source improves by 2 orders and is about 10^{-5} rad ≈ 2 asec, and the uncertainty area is about 2 asec² for 1- σ . Since the phase of the pulsar term is sufficiently constrained with this precision of pulsar distance, even better precision will not lead to a better angular resolution. On the other hand, significant improvement cannot be seen in other parameters such as ι , d_L , Φ_0 , ψ and σ_n , which are not directly related to the phase of the pulsar term. The position parameters, θ and ϕ , are correlated negatively. Parameter pairs, such as f_0 - \mathcal{M} , d_L - ι and Φ_0 - ψ , are also seen to be correlated.

Let us focus more on the precision in the sky location of the GW source. As we saw above, the precision ideally reaches 2 asec², while it is 40 amin² for more practical distance errors of 100 pc. Our simulation assumes that all pulsars are at a distance of 1 kpc and have the same distance error. In reality, however, pulsars are located at various distances and the distance errors are also different. In general, pulsars at relatively short distances tend to have small errors for the same precision of parallax measurements. Since the precision of the phase of the pulsar term is related not to the relative precision of the pulsar distance but to the absolute precision, if the distances of several nearby pulsars are precisely determined, the phase of their pulsar term is also precisely determined. To simulate such a more practical situation, we consider a case in which the distance of only some of the 12 pulsars is precisely measured with a precision of 0.01 pc, while others have a precision of 100 pc.

In the left panel of Fig. 4, the 1- σ uncertainty of the sky location (θ and ϕ) with 1 ns white noise is shown for different numbers of pulsars with a precise distance. The largest and smallest contours are the same as those in Fig. 2




FIG. 3. Same as Fig. 2, but $\sigma_p = 0.01$ pc is assumed.

and Fig. 3, respectively. Here, we give a precise distance to pulsars in the ascending order of the assigned number in Fig. 1. It is seen that the area of uncertainty is reduced drastically as the number increases. Further, the direction of the correlation between θ and ϕ changes as the number increases and this behavior is dependent on the order of the pulsars given the precise distance. Since our focus is on the area of the uncertainty region but not the direction of the correlation, we will not pursue this point any further.

In the right panel of Fig. 4, we show the area of the $1\text{-}\sigma$ uncertainty regions shown in the left panel as a function of the number of pulsars with a precise distance. The precision of the source location estimation improves as the number increases. It is noticeable that only 3 pulsars with a precise distance drastically improve the source localization. The precision is saturated to $2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ deg}^2$ with 9 pulsars. Thus, it is implied that only a few pulsars with a precise distance can have a significant impact.

Let us see the impact of precise pulsar distance measurement more systematically changing the precision of the distances and the white-noise levels. This allows us to assess how much angular resolution for GW sources we can have in the near and far future.

First, we compare 4 cases with different values of distance uncertainty (0.01 pc, 0.1 pc, 1 pc and 10 pc), while fixing the white-noise level to 10 ns, which will be practical in the SKA era. We again give the above precise distance to some of the 12 pulsars, while other pulsars have a distance uncertainty of 100 pc. The left panel of Fig. 5 shows the $1\text{-}\sigma$ area of the source localization. Since the noise level is higher than in the previous cases, the angular resolution is generally about 1 order of magnitude worse for 0.01 pc. We see that the influence of the distance precision is significant. When the pulsar distance error increases by one order, the best angular resolution (the rightmost value) deteriorates by 1.5 orders of magnitude. Specifically, if the distance error is 10pc, the improvement is at most only about a factor of 2 compared to the case of 100 pc. This is because the phase of the pulsar term is not well determined with an error of 10 pc. If all pulsars have a distance error of 0.1-1 pc, which will be reachable in the SKA era, the area of the confidence region is about $3 \times 10^{-5} - 3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ deg}^2 = 0.1 - 10 \text{ amin}^2$. Even if only 5 out of 12 pulsars have such precise distance, the area is as small as $3 \times 10^{-4} - 10^{-2} \text{ deg}^2 = 1 - 30 \text{ amin}^2$.

Next, we compare 3 cases with different white-noise levels (1 ns, 10 ns and 100 ns), while fixing the distance precision to 1 pc. The right panel of Fig. 5 shows the result. Without pulsars with a precise distance (the leftmost value), the white-noise level largely affects the angular resolution. However, the dependence on the white-noise level becomes weak as the number of pulsars with a precise distance increases. In fact, for the number larger than 6, the difference is only a factor of 3. In other words, distance information can reduce the effect of the ToA noise on the localization




FIG. 4. *Left*: Posterior of the sky location of SMBHB. The solid contours represent $1\text{-}\sigma$ regions. For the largest area contour, we used $\sigma_p = 100$ pc for all pulsars. The dot marker represents the true value of the sky location of SMBHB. *Right*: Uncertainty of the sky location as a function of the number of pulsars with precisely determined distances. The vertical axis is the area of the contour line in the left panel.

of GW sources. We see that, even in a case with 100 ns noise and 1 pc distance uncertainty, which will be practical in the near future, the precision improves by 2 orders of magnitude.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

We have studied the impact of precise pulsar distance measurements on the pulsar timing array. If the precise distance of pulsars is given by external observations such as VLBI astrometry, it is expected that the phase of the pulsar term can be determined precisely, and the precision of the determination of the model parameters, especially the sky location of the gravitational wave source, will be improved significantly. To evaluate the impact, we created timing residual data of 12 pulsars for 12.5 years with Gaussian white noise in the presence of the gravitational wave signal generated by the supermassive black hole binary. We performed Bayesian analysis to estimate the uncertainties of the parameters, incorporating external information from independent observations by considering priors on the pulsar distance.

First, we considered a case with very small white noise of 1 nsec to see the potential usefulness of precise pulsar distance. While the uncertainty area was 40 amin^2 for a conservative distance precision of 100 pc, it improved to 2 asec^2 for an extreme precision of 0.01 pc. Other parameters which are directly related to the pulsar-term phase such as \mathcal{M} and f_0 were also found to improve significantly. It was noticeable that only 3 pulsars with precise distances can improve the localization of the gravitational wave source.

Next, we varied distance precision and white-noise level systematically. We found that even in a currently practical case with 100 ns white noise, if half of the pulsars have a distance precision of 1 pc, the localization can improve by more than 1 order of magnitude compared with a case without external distance information. Furthermore, in a case with 10 ns white noise and 1 pc distance precision for all pulsars, which will be practical in the SKA era, the localization can improve by 2 orders of magnitude and the uncertainty area reaches 10 amin^2 . If even only a few pulsars have a distance precision of 0.1 pc, the improvement is much more drastic.

In this paper, only white noise was considered, and red noise, frequency-dependent noise and other systematic errors were not taken into account. In practice, various systematic errors can deteriorate or bias the localization of GW sources. Furthermore, for simplicity, we assume that pulsars are isotropically distributed on the sky and have a distance of 1 kpc. The covariance of θ and ϕ and the precision of the localization are considered to depend on the




FIG. 5. *Left*: Same as the right panel of Fig. 4 but with different precision of pulsar distance, $\sigma_p = 10$ pc (solid), $\sigma_p = 1$ pc (dashed), $\sigma_p = 0.1$ pc (dashed-dotted) and $\sigma_p = 0.01$ pc (dashed double-dotted), while fixing the white-noise level to 10 ns. *Right*: Same as the right panel of Fig. 4 but with different white-noise levels, $\sigma_n = 1$ ns (dashed-dotted), $\sigma_n = 10$ ns (dashed) and $\sigma_n = 100$ ns (solid), while fixing the distance precision to 1 pc.

sky distribution of the pulsars and the relative position of the pulsars with precise distance and the GW source. The purpose of this paper was to evaluate the potential importance of precise measurement of pulsar distances. More realistic analyses taking into account systematic errors and 3-dimensional pulsar distributions will be our future work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

KT is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 20H00180, 21H01130, and 21H04467, Bilateral Joint Research Projects of JSPS, and the ISM Cooperative Research Program (2023-ISMCRP-2046).

-
- [1] R. S. Foster and D. C. Backer, Constructing a pulsar timing array, *Apj* **361**, 300 (1990).
 - [2] J. Antoniadis, S. Babak, A. S. Bak Nielsen, C. G. Bassa, A. Berthreau, M. Bonetti, E. Bortolas, P. R. Brook, M. Burgay, R. N. Caballero, A. Chalumeau, D. J. Champion, S. Chanlaridis, S. Chen, I. Cognard, G. Desvignes, M. Falxa, R. D. Ferdman, A. Franchini, J. R. Gair, B. Goncharov, E. Graikou, J. M. Grießmeier, L. Guillemot, Y. J. Guo, H. Hu, F. Iraci, D. Izquierdo-Villalba, J. Jang, J. Jawor, G. H. Janssen, A. Jessner, R. Karuppusamy, E. F. Keane, M. J. Keith, M. Kramer, M. A. Krishnakumar, K. Lackeos, K. J. Lee, K. Liu, Y. Liu, A. G. Lyne, J. W. McKee, R. A. Main, M. B. Mickaliger, I. C. Nitu, A. Parthasarathy, B. B. P. Perera, D. Perrodin, A. Petiteau, N. K. Porayko, A. Possenti, H. Q. L. A. Samajdar, S. A. Sanidas, A. Sesana, G. Shaifullah, L. Speri, R. Spiewak, B. W. Stappers, S. C. Susarla, G. Theureau, C. Tiburzi, E. van der Wateren, A. Vecchio, V. Venkatraman Krishnan, J. P. W. Verbiest, J. Wang, L. Wang, and Z. Wu, The second data release from the European Pulsar Timing Array I. The dataset and timing analysis, *arXiv e-prints*, [arXiv:2306.16224](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.16224) [astro-ph.HE] (2023), [arXiv:2306.16224](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.16224) [astro-ph.HE].
 - [3] G. Agazie *et al.* (NANOGrav), The NANOGrav 15 yr data set: Observations and timing of 68 millisecond pulsars, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **951**, L9 (2023), [arxiv:2306.16217](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.16217) [astro-ph.HE].
 - [4] A. Zic, D. J. Reardon, A. Kapur, G. Hobbs, R. Mandow, M. Curyło, R. M. Shannon, J. Askew, M. Bailes, N. D. R. Bhat, A. Cameron, Z.-C. Chen, S. Dai, V. Di Marco, Y. Feng, M. Kerr, A. Kulkarni, M. E. Lower, R. Luo, R. N. Manchester, M. T. Miles, R. S. Nathan, S. Osłowski, A. F. Rogers, C. J. Russell, R. Spiewak, N. Thyagarajan, L. Toomey, S. Wang, L. Zhang, S. Zhang, and X.-J. Zhu, The parkes pulsar timing array third data release, *arXiv e-prints*, [arXiv:2306.16230](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.16230) (2023), [arxiv:2306.16230](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.16230) [astro-ph.HE].

- [5] P. Tarafdar *et al.*, The indian pulsar timing array: First data release, *Publ. Astron. Soc. Austral.* **39**, e053 (2022), [arxiv:2206.09289 \[astro-ph.IM\]](#).
- [6] H. Xu *et al.*, Searching for the nano-hertz stochastic gravitational wave background with the chinese pulsar timing array data release I, *Res. Astron. Astrophys.* **23**, 075024 (2023), [arxiv:2306.16216 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [7] M. T. Miles *et al.*, The MeerKAT pulsar timing array: First data release, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **519**, 3976 (2023), [arxiv:2212.04648 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [8] J. Antoniadis *et al.*, The International Pulsar Timing Array second data release: Search for an isotropic gravitational wave background, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **510**, 4873 (2022), [arxiv:2201.03980 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [9] D. J. Reardon *et al.*, Search for an isotropic gravitational-wave background with the parkes pulsar timing array, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **951**, L6 (2023), [arxiv:2306.16215 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [10] J. Antoniadis, P. Arumugam, S. Arumugam, S. Babak, M. Bagchi, A. S. Bak Nielsen, C. G. Bassa, A. Bathula, A. Berthereau, M. Bonetti, E. Bortolas, P. R. Brook, M. Burgay, R. N. Caballero, A. Chalumeau, D. J. Champion, S. Chanlaridis, S. Chen, I. Cognard, S. Dandapat, D. Deb, S. Desai, G. Desvignes, N. Dhanda-Batra, C. Dwivedi, M. Falxa, R. D. Ferdman, A. Franchini, J. R. Gair, B. Goncharov, A. Gopakumar, E. Graikou, J. M. Grießmeier, L. Guillemot, Y. J. Guo, Y. Gupta, S. Hisano, H. Hu, F. Iraci, D. Izquierdo-Villalba, J. Jang, J. Jawor, G. H. Janssen, A. Jessner, B. C. Joshi, F. Kareem, R. Karuppusamy, E. F. Keane, M. J. Keith, D. Kharbanda, T. Kikunaga, N. Kolhe, M. Kramer, M. A. Krishnakumar, K. Lackeos, K. J. Lee, K. Liu, Y. Liu, A. G. Lyne, J. W. McKee, Y. Maan, R. A. Main, M. B. Mickaliger, I. C. Nitu, K. Nobleson, A. K. Paladi, A. Parthasarathy, B. B. P. Perera, D. Perrodin, A. Petiteau, N. K. Porayko, A. Possenti, T. Prabu, H. Quelquejay Leclere, P. Rana, A. Samajdar, S. A. Sanidas, A. Sesana, G. Shaifullah, J. Singha, L. Speri, R. Spiewak, A. Srivastava, B. W. Stappers, M. Surnis, S. C. Susarla, A. Susobhanan, K. Takahashi, P. Tarafdar, G. Theureau, C. Tiburzi, E. van der Wateren, A. Vecchio, V. Venkatraman Krishnan, J. P. W. Verbiest, J. Wang, L. Wang, and Z. Wu, The second data release from the European Pulsar Timing Array III. Search for gravitational wave signals, *arXiv e-prints*, [arXiv:2306.16214 \(2023\)](#), [arxiv:2306.16214 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [11] G. Agazie *et al.* (NANOGrav), The NANOGrav 15 yr data set: Evidence for a gravitational-wave background, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **951**, L8 (2023), [arxiv:2306.16213 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [12] P. A. Rosado, A. Sesana, and J. Gair, Expected properties of the first gravitational wave signal detected with pulsar timing arrays, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **451**, 2417 (2015), [arxiv:1503.04803 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [13] I. K. Baldry, A. S. G. Robotham, D. T. Hill, S. P. Driver, J. Liske, P. Norberg, S. P. Bamford, A. M. Hopkins, J. Loveday, J. A. Peacock, E. Cameron, S. M. Croom, N. J. G. Cross, I. F. Doyle, S. Dye, C. S. Frenk, D. H. Jones, E. van Kampen, L. S. Kelvin, R. C. Nichol, H. R. Parkinson, C. C. Popescu, M. Prescott, R. G. Sharp, W. J. Sutherland, D. Thomas, and R. J. Tuffs, Galaxy And Mass Assembly (GAMA): The input catalogue and star-galaxy separation, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2010.16282.x \(2010\)](#).
- [14] S. P. Driver *et al.*, Galaxy And Mass Assembly (GAMA): Data Release 4 and the z_j 0.1 total and z_j 0.08 morphological galaxy stellar mass functions, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **513**, 439 (2022), [arxiv:2203.08539 \[astro-ph.GA\]](#).
- [15] A. Sesana and A. Vecchio, Measuring the parameters of massive black hole binary systems with Pulsar Timing Array observations of gravitational waves, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 104008 (2010), [arxiv:1003.0677 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [16] V. Corbin and N. J. Cornish, Pulsar timing array observations of massive black hole binaries, *arXiv e-prints*, [arXiv:1008.1782 \(2010\)](#), [arxiv:1008.1782 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [17] K. J. Lee, N. Wex, M. Kramer, B. W. Stappers, C. G. Bassa, G. H. Janssen, R. Karuppusamy, and R. Smits, Gravitational wave astronomy of single sources with a pulsar timing array, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **414**, 3251 (2011), [arxiv:1103.0115 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [18] S. Babak and A. Sesana, Resolving multiple supermassive black hole binaries with pulsar timing arrays, *Physical Review D* **85**, [10.1103/physrevd.85.044034 \(2012\)](#).
- [19] J. A. Ellis, A Bayesian analysis pipeline for continuous GW sources in the PTA band, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **30**, 224004 (2013), [arxiv:1305.0835 \[astro-ph.IM\]](#).
- [20] S. Taylor, J. Ellis, and J. Gair, Accelerated Bayesian model-selection and parameter-estimation in continuous gravitational-wave searches with pulsar-timing arrays, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 104028 (2014), [arxiv:1406.5224 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [21] Y. Wang, S. D. Mohanty, and F. A. Jenet, A coherent method for the detection and estimation of continuous gravitational wave signals using a pulsar timing array, *Astrophys. J.* **795**, 96 (2014), [arxiv:1406.5496 \[astro-ph.IM\]](#).
- [22] S. R. Taylor, E. A. Huerta, J. R. Gair, and S. T. McWilliams, Detecting eccentric supermassive black hole binaries with pulsar timing arrays: Resolvable source strategies, *Astrophys. J.* **817**, 70 (2016), [arxiv:1505.06208 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [23] Y. Wang, S. D. Mohanty, and F. A. Jenet, Coherent network analysis for continuous gravitational wave signals in a pulsar timing array: Pulsar phases as extrinsic parameters (2016), [arxiv:1506.01526 \[astro-ph.IM\]](#).
- [24] X. Zhu, L. Wen, J. Xiong, Y. Xu, Y. Wang, S. D. Mohanty, G. Hobbs, and R. N. Manchester, Detection and localization of continuous gravitational waves with pulsar timing arrays: The role of pulsar terms, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **461**, 1317 (2016), [arxiv:1606.04539 \[astro-ph.IM\]](#).
- [25] Y. Wang and S. D. Mohanty, Pulsar timing array based search for supermassive black hole binaries in the square kilometer array era, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 151104 (2017), [arxiv:1611.09440 \[astro-ph.IM\]](#).
- [26] Y. Wang, S. D. Mohanty, and Z. Cao, Extending the frequency reach of pulsar timing array based gravitational wave search without high cadence observations, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **907**, L43 (2021), [arxiv:2012.04261 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [27] J. M. Goldstein, J. Veitch, A. Sesana, and A. Vecchio, Null-stream analysis of Pulsar Timing Array data: Localization of resolvable gravitational wave sources, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **477**, 5447 (2018).
- [28] J.-W. Chen and Y. Wang, Parameter-estimation biases for eccentric supermassive binary black holes in pulsar timing arrays: Biases caused by ignored pulsar terms, *Astrophys. J.* **929**, 168 (2022), [arxiv:2203.05280 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).

- [29] Y.-Y. Songsheng, Y.-Q. Qian, Y.-R. Li, P. Du, J.-W. Chen, Y. Wang, S. D. Mohanty, and J.-M. Wang, Search for continuous gravitational-wave signals in pulsar timing residuals: A new scalable approach with diffusive nested sampling, *Astrophys. J.* **922**, 228 (2021), [arxiv:2109.00367 \[astro-ph.IM\]](#).
- [30] B. Bécsy, N. J. Cornish, and M. C. Digman, Fast Bayesian analysis of individual binaries in pulsar timing array data, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 122003 (2022).
- [31] A. T. Deller *et al.*, Microarcsecond VLBI Pulsar Astrometry with PSR π II. Parallax Distances for 57 Pulsars, *Astrophys. J.* **875**, 100 (2019).
- [32] H. Ding *et al.*, The MSPSR π catalogue: VLBA astrometry of 18 millisecond pulsars, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **519**, 4982 (2023), [arxiv:2212.06351 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [33] Smits, R., Tingay, S. J., Wex, N., Kramer, M., and Stappers, B., Prospects for accurate distance measurements of pulsars with the Square Kilometre Array: Enabling fundamental physics, *antike und abendland* **528**, A108 (2011).
- [34] M. Anholm, S. Ballmer, J. D. E. Creighton, L. R. Price, and X. Siemens, Optimal strategies for gravitational wave stochastic background searches in pulsar timing data, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 084030 (2009).
- [35] L. S. Finn, The Response of interferometric gravitational wave detectors, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 022002 (2009).
- [36] L. G. Book and E. E. Flanagan, Astrometric Effects of a Stochastic Gravitational Wave Background, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 024024 (2011).
- [37] J. Ellis, *Searching for Gravitational Waves Using Pulsar Timing Arrays*, Ph.D. thesis, Wisconsin U., Milwaukee (2014).
- [38] P. Gregory, *Bayesian Logical Data Analysis for the Physical Sciences: A Comparative Approach with Mathematica® Support* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005).
- [39] T. Wiecki, J. Salvatier, A. Patil, M. Kochurov, R. Vieira, B. Engels, J. Lao, Colin, O. Martin, M. Osthege, B. T. Willard, A. Seyboldt, A. Rochford, rpgoldman, L. Paz, K. Meyer, P. Coyle, M. E. Gorelli, R. Kumar, T. Yoshioka, O. Abril-Pla, G. Ho, T. Kluyver, K. Beauchamp, D. Pananos, A. ANDORRA, E. Spaak, B. Edwards, E. Ma, and L. M. Domenzain, *Pymc-devs/pymc: 4.0.0 beta 6*, Zenodo (2022).
- [40] C. J. F. ter Braak and J. A. Vrugt, Differential Evolution Markov Chain with snooker updater and fewer chains, *Statistics and Computing* **18**, 435 (2008).